

Design of Optimum Component Test Plans while Considering Expected System Lifetime[★]

Emre YAMANGİL^a, İ.Kuban ALTINEL^b,
Orhan FEYZİOĞLU^a, Süleyman ÖZEKİCİ^c,

^a*Department of Industrial Engineering, Galatasaray University,
Çırağan Caddesi No:36 Ortaköy, 34357, İstanbul, Turkey*

^b*Department of Industrial Engineering, Boğaziçi University,
Bebek, 34342, İstanbul, Turkey*

^c*Department of Industrial Engineering, Koç University,
Rumelifeneri Yolu, Sarıyer, 34450, İstanbul, Turkey*

Abstract

We analyze the component testing problem of devices which consist of series connection of redundant, standby redundant and k -out-of- n subsystems. Although system reliability is a common performance measure, here we extend previous studies by considering expected system lifetime. This case applies when setting mission time for a system is more practical than deciding on system reliability accurately. The problem is formulated as a semi-infinite linear programming problem, and the optimum test times are obtained with a column generation technique incorporating reverse convex programming. The proposed solution technique is also illustrated by numerical examples.

Key words: Component testing, Mean time to failure, Semi-infinite linear programming, Reverse convex programming, Column generation

1 Introduction

Testing the system as a whole might be found economically infeasible or physically impossible in many cases. For example, testing a nuclear device is currently banned by international agreements or testing a space shuttle might be found too risky because of its financial consequences. These are extreme but typical examples where one needs to attain a certain performance level without testing the system. Instead the test is done on various components to meet some desired performance measure for the whole system, while achieving a minimal testing cost. This approach has been known in the literature as the system-based component testing which is first mentioned by Gal [12]. The author proposes to minimize total component testing cost while keeping type I error probability at a desirable level and no component failures during tests as the system acceptance criterion. Mazumdar [14] extends this model by also considering type II error probability and changing the acceptance criterion to sum rule. Furthermore, Mazumdar [15]

[★] Corresponding author O. Feyzioğlu. Tel. +90-212-2274480. Fax +90-212-2595557.

Email addresses: emreyamangil@gmail.com (Emre YAMANGİL), altinel@boun.edu.tr (İ.Kuban ALTINEL), ofeyzioglu@gsu.edu.tr (Orhan FEYZİOĞLU), sozekici@ku.edu.tr (Süleyman ÖZEKİCİ).